Visualization 6406 Notes on Seminar Presentations

General notes:

- Each student will present TWO research papers. One in each seminar set.
- Students will also submit a *review* each presented paper including critical commentary by the student. For the paper reviews, you will evaluate the papers using the official IEEE Transactions on Visualization and Computer Graphics journal review form. See the course webpage for the editable review form.
- Students will also submit a 6 page survey report of the general area of visualization that each presented paper is within. This should discuss a number of papers that are similar to the presented paper in application and/or methods.
- *Do not go over the page limits.* Treat this like a conference or journal hard page limit. If you go over the limit, the extra pages will not be marked.
- Reports and reviews are due at the beginning of the next lecture after your seminar presentation.
- Following each presentation will be a short question/discussion period.

Notes on Seminar Schedule:

- Seminar classes will run (mainly) on Wednesdays, in class.
- There will be **3 seminars** in each seminar class. Each by a different student.
- Each seminar will be **20 minutes with 5 minutes** for questions.

Choosing a paper to present:

- You must email the professor **one week before your seminar** with the title of the paper that you are presenting and a web-link where that paper can be downloaded. This will ensure that the rest of the class has a chance to read it and that the paper is appropriate.
- Take a look at <u>A Brief Informal Guide for Finding Research Papers of Interest</u> on the course reference page.
- It is recommended that you choose a paper from the following journals and conferences, but other sources can be considered:
 - <u>IEEE TVCG</u>, <u>IEEE Vis</u>, <u>IEEE Infovis</u>

Suggestions for your presentations:

- There will likely be some amount of overlap between the lectures and seminars. Try to focus on those aspects of the papers that have not already been covered in lectures.
- Provide some brief context of where the paper fits into visualization research. For example, is the paper mainly about human perception? Presentation? etc.
- Highlight what the papers contributions are. How does it differ from the prior work that it references?
- Discuss any limitations of the paper that the authors point out, or that you suspect have not been addressed.
- Discuss how the work could be expanded or altered. What future work did the paper open up? Did it give you any ideas?
- Try to anticipate questions that the audience might ask.
- Clearly motivate the paper. Why was this research done? Why is it worthwhile? Is it worthwhile?
- Clearly structure your talk with:
 - an introduction and motivation,
 - prior work (brief),
 - main body,
 - o limitations
 - and future work.

Grading of Seminars

- Evaluated for 30% of the Final Grade.
 - \circ 2 × presentations worth 5% each
 - \circ 2 × reports worth 5% each
 - \circ 2 × IEEE reviews worth 5% each
- Presentations with be graded on:
 - Visual quality of presentation (slides)
 - Delivery
 - Content of Presentation
 - Ability to Answer Questions
 - Overall Effectiveness